SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF

In	re the Marriage of:		
an	ad	Petitioner,	NO. PETITION FOR DECLARATION CONCERNING VALIDITY
		Respondent.	(PTIN)
		I. BASI	S
1.1	IDENTIFICATION OF	PETITIONER.	
Name	(first/last)		, Birth date
Last known residence			(county and state).
1.2	IDENTIFICATION OF	RESPONDENT(S).	
Name	(first/last)		Birth date
Last k	nown residence		(county and state).
1.3	CHILDREN OF THE M	MARRIAGE DEPENDE	NT UPON EITHER OR BOTH SPOUSES.
Name	(first/last)		Age

1.4 ALLEGATION REGARDING MARRIAGE.

1.5

1.6

1.7

	s a petition to have this marriage declared invalid. The marriage should not have been acted and the marriage should be declared invalid for the reasons which follow.				
[]	Because of the age of one or both of the parties and because the parties have not ratified their marriage by voluntarily cohabitating after attaining the age of consent.				
[]	Because of lack of required parental or court approval and because the parties have not ratified their marriage by voluntarily cohabitating after attaining the age of consent.				
[] []	Because of a prior undissolved marriage of one or both of the parties. Because of reasons of consanguinity.				
[]	Because a party lacked capacity to consent to the marriage and because the parties have				
[]	not ratified their marriage by voluntarily cohabitating after attaining capacity to consent. Either because of mental incapacity or because of the influence of alcohol or other incapacitating substances and because the parties have not ratified their marriage by				
[]	voluntarily cohabitating after attaining capacity to consent. Because a party was induced to enter into the marriage by force or duress, or by fraud				
	involving the essentials of marriage, and because the parties have not ratified their marriage by voluntarily cohabitating after cessation of the force or duress or discovery of the fraud.				
[]	Because the marriage was contracted in a jurisdiction other than this state and the marriage was void or voidable under the law of the place where the marriage was contracted and because the marriage has not been subsequently validated by the laws of				
the place of contract or a subsequent domicile of the parties.					
	E AND PLACE OF MARRIAGE.				
The parties were married on at [Date] [Place]					
SEPA	RATION.				
[] []					
ĹĴ	[Date]				
JURIS	SDICTION.				
This c	ourt has jurisdiction over the marriage.				
[]	This court has jurisdiction over the respondent because:				
	 [] the respondent is presently residing in Washington. [] the petitioner and respondent lived in Washington during their marriage and the petitioner continues to reside, or be a member of the armed forces stationed, in 				
	this state. [] the petitioner and respondent may have conceived a child while within Washington.				

		[]	Other:
	[]	This co	ourt does not have jurisdiction over the respondent.
1.8	PROPI	ERTY.	
There is community or separate property owned by equitable division of all the property.			unity or separate property owned by the parties. The court should make a fair and ion of all the property.
	[]		vision of property should be determined by the court at a later date. titioner's recommendation for the division of property is set forth below. The petitioner should be awarded the parties' interest in the following property:
		[]	The respondent should be awarded the parties' interest in the following property:
		[]	Other:
1.9	DEBT	S AND I	LIABILITIES.
	 [] The parties have no debts and liabilities. [] The parties have debts and liabilities. The court should make a fair and equitable of all debts and liabilities. 		rties have debts and liabilities. The court should make a fair and equitable division
		[]	The division of debts and liabilities should be determined by the court at a later
		[]	date. The petitioner's recommendation for the division of debts and liabilities is set forth below.

		[] The petitioner should be ordered to pay the following debts and li to the following creditors:	abilitie
		[] The respondent should be ordered to pay the following debts and liabilities to the following creditors:	
		[] Other:	
1.10	SPOU	SAL MAINTENANCE.	
	[]	Spousal maintenance should not be ordered. There is a need for spousal maintenance as follows:	
	[]	Other:	
1.11	CONT	INUING RESTRAINING ORDER.	
	[]	Does not apply. A continuing restraining order should be entered which restrains or enjoins the [] husband [] wife from disturbing the peace of the other party. A continuing restraining order should be entered which restrains or enjoins the	
[] husband [] wife from going onto the grounds of or entering the home,		[] husband [] wife from going onto the grounds of or entering the home, work plaschool of the other party or the day care or school of the following children:	

	IJ	A continuing restraining order should be entered which restrains or enjoins the [] husband [] wife from knowingly coming within or knowingly remaining within (distance) of the home, work place or school of the other party or the day care or school of these children:		
		Other:		
	[]	A continuing restraining order should be entered which restrains or enjoins [Name] from molesting, assaulting, harassing, or stalking [Name]. (If the court orders this relief, the restrained person will be prohibited from possessing a firearm or ammunition under federal law for the duration of the order. An exception exists for law enforcement officers and military personnel when carrying department/government-issued firearms. 18 U.S.C. § 925(a)(1).)		
	[]	Other:		
1.12	PROT	ECTION ORDER.		
	[]	Does not apply. A domestic violence protection order should be entered protecting [Name] from [Name]		
		because [Name] has committed domestic violence as defined by 26.50 RCW against [Name]. (If the court orders this relief, the restrained person will be prohibited from possessing a firearm or ammunition under federal law for the duration of the order. An exception exists for law enforcement officers and military personnel when carrying department/government-issued firearms. 18 U.S.C. § 925(a)(1).)		
	If you forms	need immediate protection, contact the clerk/court for RCW 26.50 Domestic Violence		
1.13	PREG	NANCY.		
	[]	The wife is not pregnant. The wife is pregnant and under RCW 26.26.116, the husband is the presumed father. Note: If husband or wife believes the husband is not the father, this presumption may be challenged up to two years after the birth of the child or as otherwise provided in RCW 26.26.500 through 26.26.625.		
1.14	JURISDICTION OVER THE CHILDREN.			
	[]	Does not apply because there are no dependent children. This court has jurisdiction over the children for the reasons set forth below.		
	[]	This court has exclusive continuing jurisdiction. The court has previously made a child custody, parenting plan, residential schedule or visitation determination in this matter and: retains jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.211.		

[] the children lived in Washington with a parent or a person acting as least six consecutive months immediately preceding the commence proceeding. [] the children are less than six months old and have lived in Washing parent or a person acting as parent since birth. [] any absences from Washington have been only temporary. [] Washington was the home state of the children within six months be commencement of this proceeding and the children are absent from parent or person acting as a parent continued to live in this state. [] The children and the parents or the children and at least one parent or person parent, have significant connection with the state other than mere physical psubstantial evidence is available in this state concerning the children's care, training and personal relationships; and [] the children have no home state elsewhere. [] the children's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the this state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .2 [] All courts in the children's home state have declined to exercise jurisdiction that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custe children under RCW 26.27.261 or .271. [] No other state has jurisdiction. [] This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because represent in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	
parent or a person acting as parent since birth. [] any absences from Washington have been only temporary. [] Washington was the home state of the children within six months be commencement of this proceeding and the children are absent from parent or person acting as a parent continued to live in this state. [] The children and the parents or the children and at least one parent or person parent, have significant connection with the state other than mere physical psubstantial evidence is available in this state concerning the children's care, training and personal relationships; and [] the children have no home state elsewhere. [] the children's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the this state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .2 [] All courts in the children's home state have declined to exercise jurisdiction that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custe children under RCW 26.27.261 or .271. [] No other state has jurisdiction. [] This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because present in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	
 [] any absences from Washington have been only temporary. [] Washington was the home state of the children within six months be commencement of this proceeding and the children are absent from parent or person acting as a parent continued to live in this state. [] The children and the parents or the children and at least one parent or person parent, have significant connection with the state other than mere physical psubstantial evidence is available in this state concerning the children's care, training and personal relationships; and [] the children have no home state elsewhere. [] the children's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the this state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .2 [] All courts in the children's home state have declined to exercise jurisdiction that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custochildren under RCW 26.27.261 or .271. [] No other state has jurisdiction. [] This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because represent in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	gton with a
parent, have significant connection with the state other than mere physical psubstantial evidence is available in this state concerning the children's care, training and personal relationships; and [] the children have no home state elsewhere. [] the children's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the this state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .2 [] All courts in the children's home state have declined to exercise jurisdiction that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custochildren under RCW 26.27.261 or .271. [] No other state has jurisdiction. [] This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because represent in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	
[] the children's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the this state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .2 [] All courts in the children's home state have declined to exercise jurisdiction that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custochildren under RCW 26.27.261 or .271. [] No other state has jurisdiction. [] This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because are present in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	presence; and
that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custochildren under RCW 26.27.261 or .271. [] No other state has jurisdiction. [] This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because are present in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	•
This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because are present in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	-
are present in this state and the children have been abandoned or it is necess emergency to protect the children because the children, or a sibling or parent is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231. [] There is a previous custody determination that is entitled to be enthis chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	
this chapter or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27.22 requirements of RCW 26.27.231(3) apply to this matter. This state over the children shall last until	sary in an
[] There is no previous custody determination that is entitled to be e	in a court of a 21. The ate's jurisdiction
this chapter and a child custody proceeding has not been commen of a state having jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.201 through 26.27 action is not filed in [potential home st the child has been in Washington for six months, then Washington's jurisdiction will be final and continuing.	nced in a court 27.221. If an tate] by the time
[] Other:	

1.15	CHILD SUPPORT AND PARENTING PLAN FOR DEPENDENT CHILDREN.					
	[]	The parties have no dependent children. Support for the dependent children listed in paragraph 1.3, above, should be set pursuant to the Washington State Child Support Schedule.				
		Name of Child	Mother's <u>Name</u>	Father's Name		
		The petitioner's p	roposed parenting plan for	these children:		
				e pursuant to RCW 26.09.181.		
		(The following information is required only for those children who are included in the petitioner's proposed parenting plan.)				
		During the last five years, the children have lived:				
		petitioner [] in the foll lived, incl names of	or the respondent. owing places with the follo luding the state of Washing the persons with whom the	ashington and with no person other than the wing persons (list each place the children ton, the dates the children lived there and the children lived. The present addresses of quired Confidential Information Form):		
		Claims to custody	or visitation.			
		physical of The followisitation below and	custody of, or claims to hav wing persons have physical rights to, the children (list t	person other than the respondent who has e custody or visitation rights to, the children. custody of, or claim to have custody or their names and the children concerned in the Confidential Information Form. Do		

	Involv	vement in any other proceeding concerning the children.
	[]	The petitioner has not been involved in any other proceeding regarding the children.
	[]	The petitioner has been involved in the following proceedings regarding the children (list the court, the case number, and the date of the judgment or order):
	Othor	legal proceedings concerning the children.
	Other	legal proceedings concerning the children.
	[]	The petitioner does not know of any other legal proceedings concerning the children.
	[]	The petitioner knows of the following legal proceedings which concern the children (list the children concerned, the court, the case number and the kind of proceeding):
1.16 OTI	HER.	
		II. RELIEF REQUESTED
	DEGLI	~
[] [] [] [] [] []	Provide Approximately Approximately Approximately Change Change	de reasonable maintenance for the [] husband [] wife. eve the petitioner's proposed parenting plan for the dependent children. mine support for the dependent children pursuant to the Washington State Child out Schedule. eve the separation agreement. et the property and liabilities. ge name of wife to:
[]	Enter	a continuing restraining order.
1.1	Oruer	payment of day care expenses for the children.

	[]	Award the tax exemptions for the dep	endent children as follows:
	[]	Order payment of attorney's fees, other:	er professional fees and costs.
Dated:			
2 400 401			Signature of Lawyer or Petitioner
			Print or Type Name
I decla correct		penalty of perjury under the laws of th	e state of Washington that the foregoing is true and
Signed	at		on
C		[Place]	[Date]
			Signature
			Print or Type Name
[]	JOINE	DER.	
and or	der may		at by joining in the petition, a decree or judgment f requested in the petition, unless prior to the entry I and served.
	[] I wa [] I de follow	nive notice of entry of the decree. mand notice of all further proceedings	in this matter. Further notice should be sent to the hat is not your residential address where you agree
Dated:			Signature of Respondent
			Print or Type Name